Case: 3:21-cv-00053-RAM-RM Document #: 10 Filed: 09/15/21 Page 1 of 9

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

CHASE MALONE,

Plaintiff,

ACTION FOR DAMAGES

CASE NO.: 3:21-CV-00053

v.

ISLAND TIME WATERSPORTS (CARIBBEAN), LLC d/b/a CRUZ BAY WATERSPORTS and KAREEM BOYNES,

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

**COMES NOW**, Defendant, KAREEM BOYNES ("Mr. Boynes") by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files his Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint and states as follows:

- 1. Admitted Plaintiffs claim damages in excess of the Court's jurisdictional limits, otherwise denied.
- 2. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
- 3. Admitted Defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of this court, otherwise denied.
- 4. Admitted Defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of this court, otherwise denied.
- 5. Denied.
- 6. Denied.
- 7. Admitted.
- 8. Denied.
- 9. Without knowledge, therefore denied.

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al. Answer and Affirmative Defenses – Kereem Boynes Case No.: $3:21\text{-CV}-00053$ PAGE 2
10. Admitted.
11. Admitted.
12. Admitted.
13. Denied.
14. Denied.
15. Denied.
16. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
17. Denied.
18. Denied.
19. Denied.
20. Denied.
21. Denied.
22. Denied.
23. Denied.
24. Denied.
25. Denied.
26. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
27. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
28. Admitted.
29. Denied.
30. Denied.

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al.

Answer and Affirmative Defenses - Kereem Boynes

 $Case\ No.:\ 3{:}21\text{-}CV\text{-}00053$ 

PAGE 3

- 31. Denied.
- 32. Denied.

#### COUNT I

#### (Negligence against Kereem Boynes and Cruz Bay Watersports)

- 33. Defendant, Kereem Boynes, adopts and reasserts all preceding responses, which are incorporated herein by reference.
- 34. Denied.
- 35. Denied.
- 36. Denied, including all subparts (a-d).
- 37. Denied.
- 38. Denied.

## COUNT II

### (Negligence against Cruz Bay Watersports)

- 39. Defendant, Kereem Boynes, adopts and reasserts all preceding responses, which are incorporated herein by reference.
- 40. Paragraphs 39-44 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr. Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 39-44 of the Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.
- 41. Paragraphs 39-44 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr. Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

Case: 3:21-cv-00053-RAM-RM Document #: 10 Filed: 09/15/21 Page 4 of 9

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al.

Answer and Affirmative Defenses - Kereem Boynes

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00053

 ${\bf PAGE}~4$ 

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 39-44 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

42. Paragraphs 39-44 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 39-44 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

43. Paragraphs 39-44 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 39-44 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

44. Paragraphs 39-44 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 39-44 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

**COUNT III** 

(Negligent Entrustment against Cruz Bay Watersports)

45. Defendant, Kereem Boynes, adopts and reasserts all preceding responses,

which are incorporated herein by reference.

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al.

Answer and Affirmative Defenses - Kereem Boynes

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00053

 ${\bf PAGE~5}$ 

46. Paragraphs 45-49 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 45-449 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

47. Paragraphs 45-49 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 45-449 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

48. Paragraphs 45-49 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 45-449 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

49. Paragraphs 45-49 are not directed to this Defendant, Kareem Boynes. Mr.

Boynes cannot respond on behalf of Defendants other than himself. To the

extent that the allegations contained within Paragraphs 45-449 of the

Complaint are directed at and/or may be construed against Mr. Boynes, they

are denied and Mr. Boynes demands strict proof thereof.

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al.

Answer and Affirmative Defenses - Kereem Boynes

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00053

PAGE 6

**COUNT IV** 

(Gross Negligence against Kereem Boynes and Cruz Bay Watersports)

50. Defendant, Kereem Boynes, adopts and reasserts all preceding responses,

which are incorporated herein by reference.

51. Denied, including all subparts (a. and b.)

52. Denied.

53. Denied.

54. Denied.

55. Denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As separate and complete defenses, based on information and belief,

Defendant states and sets forth the following defenses and specific denials.

1. Plaintiff has failed to state claims for which relief can be granted.

2. Plaintiff is responsible for his own injuries, losses and damages.

3. Plaintiff was negligent in failing to keep watch, failing to heed instructions,

failing to use available hand-holds and rails, failing to use available cushioning,

failing to anticipate the impact of wind, wave, and wakes, and in such other ways

yet to be discovered.

4. Plaintiff's claims are the result of neglect by non-parties over whom

Defendant had no control over or responsibility for. This includes, without

limitation, vessels traversing the same body of water causing wakes precipitating

the claims for alleged injuries, losses and damages.

Case: 3:21-cv-00053-RAM-RM Document #: 10 Filed: 09/15/21 Page 7 of 9

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al.

Answer and Affirmative Defenses - Kereem Boynes

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00053

PAGE 7

5. Plaintiff's injuries, losses and damages are the result of natural wind, wave

and/or tidal action for which Defendant is not responsible.

6. Plaintiff's injuries, losses, and damages are the result of wakes and wave

action for which Defendant is not responsible

7. Defendant is a very well qualified vessel operator, who piloted the vessel

appropriately for the wind, wave, and sea conditions such that Plaintiff's claims are

unfounded and improper. Specifically, the vessel was being piloted with an

appropriate look out, the vessel was properly equipped and outfitted with

cushioning (that Plaintiff failed to utilize), and the vessel was not being operated

too fast for conditions and the wakes, waves, and sea conditions were met in

accordance with the standards of good seamanship.

8. Plaintiff's claims are governed by application of maritime law, to the

exclusion of state law.

9. Plaintiff's injuries, losses and damages were pre-existing.

10. Plaintiff's alleged injuries, losses and damages are limited by and to the

extent that the injuries, illness and conditions complained about were pre-existing.

11. Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages, if any; or alternatively Plaintiff

mitigated his damages such that any damages should be reduced accordingly.

12. Defendant assert entitlement to a set-off for any and all monies paid, to

and/or on behalf of the Plaintiff by non-parties towards any treatment and medical

care, as well as any monies received from collateral sources.

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al.

Answer and Affirmative Defenses - Kereem Boynes

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00053

PAGE 8

13. The claims presented are barred and/or limited by the applicable statute of

limitations, the general maritime law, and/or the equitable doctrine of laches,

estopple, release and/or waiver.

14. Defendant asserts the liability of non-party tortfeasors should be considered

by this Court, and the percentage of fault attributed to such non-party tortfeasors

be considered when apportioning damages. Further, as discovery is on-going,

Defendant is presently not able to identify those non-parties at this time, but will do

so as discovery progresses.

15. Defendant asserts entitlement to all the protections and limitations

available to a vessel owner, including but not limited to Exoneration or Limitation

of Liability pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 30501 et seq.

16. Defendant asserts the vessel was properly outfitted, equipped and manned

for the subject voyage.

17. Defendant asserts the dangers Plaintiff allege were open and obvious such

that no duty to warn follows.

18. Plaintiff failed to observe and avoid the open and obvious dangers

complained such that his claims are precluded, barred, limited or otherwise

Chase Malone v. Island time Watersports (Caribbean), LLC, et. al. Answer and Affirmative Defenses – Kereem Boynes

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00053

PAGE 9

circumscribed.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jennifer Miller Brooks
Jennifer (Miller) Brooks, Esq.
V.I. Bar. 1109
jmiller@hamiltonmillerlaw.com
HAMILTON, MILLER & BIRTHISEL, VI P.C.
Attorney for Defendants
150 Southeast Second Avenue, Suite #1200
Miami, Florida 33131-2332
Tolonbone: (305) 379-3686

Telephone: (305) 379-3686 Facsimile: (305) 379-3690

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this <u>15<sup>th</sup></u> day of September 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following.

Ryan W. Greene, Esq. VI Bar No. 839 15-B Norre Gade P.O. Box 1197 Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00804 ryan@ryan-greene.com